

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,079	08/16/2005	Andrej Kitanovski	NITROS P170US	2682
DAVIS BUIO	7590 04/10/200 LD & Daniels, P.L.L.C.	EXAMINER		
112 PLEASAI	NT STREET	DOERRLER, WILLIAM CHARLES		
CONCORD, N	NH 03301		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3744	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/540,079	KITANOVSKI ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
William C. Doerrler	3744			

	William C. Doerrler	3744						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1:19 and 50 K(0) MOSTIFS from the mailing date of this communication. 1. Failur to reply within the act or dended period for reply will, by shalled, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing-aemed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1:74(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,					
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is FINAL. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under €.	- action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is					
Disposition of Claims								
4) ☐ Claim(s) 24-46 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 24-46 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.							
Application Papers								
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 16 August 2005 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the d Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected Irawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/08) Paper No(s)Mail Date 6-23-2005	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5] Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Application/Control Number: 10/540,079

Art Unit: 3744

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 26, "fluid in one of a liquid and a state", is confusing.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Application/Control Number: 10/540,079

Art Unit: 3744

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 24-32 and 35-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barclay (4,408,463).

Barclay discloses applicants' basic inventive concept, a magnetic refrigerator that rotates a magnetic fluid though a housing with half of the housing being effected by a superconducting magnetic coil, with a heat transfer fluid passing through the rotating material to a heat releasing heat exchanger after passing through the magnetic field and to a heat accepting heat exchanger after flowing through the non-magnetic side of the housing, substantially as claimed with the exception of using separate heat transfer fluids for the heating and cooling heat exchanger. This is seen as a matter of obvious design choice for an ordinary practitioner in the art. The splitting of one heat transfer path that passes both through a heating heat exchanger and a cooling heat exchanger into two separate heat transfer passes is seen as obviously reducing the heat transfer between the heat producing and heat accepting portions of the magnetic material, while requiring two pumps. In regard to claim 27, the reversal of the system is seen as obvious to an ordinary practitioner in the art as the system is shown to produce heat and cooling and heating volumes which are sometimes cooled by a system is well established, the home heat pump for example. In regard to claim 29, line 23 of column 4 states that other types of magnets may be used. In regard to claim 32, line 30 of column 7 states that compensation coils can be used in conjunction with the soft iron shielding members to contain the magnetic field. In regard to claim 42, see the top of

Application/Control Number: 10/540,079

Art Unit: 3744

column 7. In regard to claim 44, using tubes to permit the passage of heat transfer fluid through the magnetic material is seen as obvious to an ordinary practitioner in the art to provide an obstructed passage through the material to reduce fluid losses.

Claims 33 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barclay in view of Zimm et al (6,668,560).

Barclay discloses applicants' basic inventive concept, a magnetic cooling system with heat transfer fluid passing through rotating magnetic material, substantially as claimed with the exception of using a rotating magnet. Zimm et al shows this feature to be old in the magnetic cooling system art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicants' invention from the teaching of Zimm et al to modify the magnetic cooling system of Barclay by using a rotating magnet to modify the magnetic field to produce the cooling to permit easier fluid management of the heat transfer fluid. In regard to claim 34, the use of two magnets used alternately instead of one moving magnet is seen as a matter of ordinary design choice for an ordinary practitioner in the art.

Claim 46 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barclay in view of Hed (5,091,361).

Barclay discloses applicants' basic inventive concept, a magnetic cooling system with heat transfer fluid passing through rotating magnetic material, substantially as claimed with the exception of using a superconductor as the magnetic material which produces the cooling. Hed shows this feature to be old in the magnetic cooling system art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicants'

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/540,079

Art Unit: 3744

invention from the teaching of Hed to modify the magnetic cooling system of Barclay by using a superconductor for the cooling producing material to reduce internal losses within the material.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Barclay et al (2002-0040583, Ghoshal, Zimm et al (6,526,759), Kirol, Peschka et al and Hakuraku et al show rotary magnetic cooling systems.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William C. Doerrler whose telephone number is (571) 272-4807. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 3744

William C Doerrler Primary Examiner Art Unit 3744

WCD

/William C Doerrler/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3744